

1 Title: Elucidating multipollutant exposure across a complex metropolitan area by  
2 systematic deployment of a mobile laboratory

3

4 Ilan Levy<sup>1,2</sup>, Cristian Mihele<sup>1</sup>, Gang Lu<sup>1</sup>, Julie Narayan<sup>1</sup>, Nathan Hilker<sup>1</sup> and Jeffrey R.  
5 Brook<sup>1</sup>

6

7 Affiliation: <sup>1</sup>Air Quality Research Division, Science and Technology Branch,  
8 Environment Canada, Downsview, Ontario, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Now at: Technion Center of  
9 Excellence in Exposure Science and Environmental Health, Technion I.I.T, Israel

10

11 Corresponding author: Dr Jeffrey Brook, Air Quality Research Division, Science and  
12 Technology Branch, Environment Canada, 4905 Dufferin Street, Toronto, Ontario,  
13 Canada, M3H 5T4

14 Telephone: (416) 739-4916; Fax: (416) 739-428; E-mail: [jeff.brook@ec.gc.ca](mailto:jeff.brook@ec.gc.ca)

15

16 Key words: Air quality; multipollutants; urban air quality; human exposure; NO<sub>x</sub>; particle  
17 number; spatiotemporal measurements; mobile measurements; CRUISER

18

1 Abstract

2 This study evaluates a deployment strategy of a heavily instrumented mobile lab for  
3 characterizing multipollutant spatial patterns based upon a limited number of  
4 measurement days spread over different seasons. The measurements obtained through  
5 this deployment strategy are used to gain insight into average pollutant levels between  
6 routine monitoring sites and in relation to emission sources in the region, as well as to  
7 assess correlations between pollutant patterns to better understand the nature of urban air  
8 pollutant mixtures. A wide range of locations were part of the deployment in order to  
9 characterize the distribution of chronic exposures potentially allowing development of  
10 exposure models. Comparison of the mobile lab averages to the available adjacent air  
11 quality monitoring network stations to evaluate their representativeness showed that they  
12 were in reasonable agreement with the annual averages at the monitoring sites thus  
13 providing some evidence that through the deployment approach the mobile lab is able to  
14 capture the main features of the average spatial patterns. The differences between mobile  
15 lab and network averages varied by pollutant with the best agreement for NO<sub>2</sub> with a  
16 percent difference of 20%. Sharp differences in the average spatial distribution were  
17 found to exist between different pollutants on multiple scales, particularly on the sub-  
18 urban scale, i.e., the neighbourhood to street scales. For example, NO<sub>2</sub> was observed to  
19 be 210-265% higher by the main highway in the study region compared to the nearby  
20 urban background monitoring site, while black carbon was higher by 180-200% and  
21 particle number concentration was 300% higher. The repeated measurements of near-  
22 roadway gradients showed that the rate of change differed by pollutant with elevated  
23 concentrations detected up to 600-700 meters away for some pollutants. These results

1 demonstrate that through systematic deployment mobile laboratory measurements can be  
2 used to characterize average or typical concentration patterns thus providing data to  
3 assess monitoring site representativeness, spatial relationships between pollutants, and  
4 chronic multi-pollutant exposure patterns useful for evaluating and developing exposure  
5 models for outdoor concentrations in an urban environment.  
6

## 1 1 Introduction

2 Long-term or chronic exposure to air pollution has been shown in many  
3 epidemiological studies of different types, such as cohort studies, case-control studies and  
4 cross sectional studies, to be associated with adverse human health outcomes (Ren and  
5 Tong, 2008). While most studies have focused on correlations of outcomes (e.g.,  
6 mortality) with a small number or even a single pollutant (e.g., PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>) (Adar et al.,  
7 2013; Jerrett et al., 2009; Pope and Dockery, 2006), it is generally believed that no single  
8 pollutant is solely responsible. Rather features of the mix of pollutants in the air,  
9 particularly when the myriad of possible adverse health effects is considered, are more  
10 likely to be exerting the effects, possibly synergistically (Mauderly and Samet, 2009).  
11 Furthermore different pollutants in the mix likely have different effects exhibited by  
12 different endpoints, acting through different mechanisms.

13 In studies where exposure to a single pollutant is used for assessing associations with  
14 health outcomes, it is often acknowledged that this pollutant is a proxy for a specific  
15 source or more-complex mixture of concern. For example, NO<sub>2</sub>, ultrafine particles  
16 (particles with a dynamic range of >0- 100 nm) and black carbon (BC) are often  
17 considered as proxy pollutants for traffic related air pollution (TRAP) or fossil fuel  
18 combustion in general (e.g., Brook et al., 2007; Bukowiecki et al., 2003; Crouse et al.,  
19 2010; Janssen et al., 2011). SO<sub>2</sub> is thought of as a proxy for heavy industry such as  
20 emissions from petroleum refineries (e.g., Smargiassi et al., 2009) and benzene could be  
21 related to both these sources in some cities (Wheeler et al., 2008) or mainly to one in  
22 others (Levy et al., 2014). PM<sub>2.5</sub> is perhaps the pollutant of greatest interest (Brook et al.,  
23 2010) and is itself a mixture of compounds related to multiple sources and/or secondary

1 formation processes. While it is generally suspected that certain types of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, related to  
2 certain sources or chemical composition, are more-likely responsible for health effects  
3 and that this could vary by outcome, this has yet to be demonstrated with enough  
4 confidence to explicitly support more-focused policies (Kelly and Fussell, 2012;  
5 Lippmann et al., 2013; Rohr and Wyzga, 2012).

6 The air pollutant mix we breathe as urban dwellers is the result of multiple emission  
7 sources (traffic, industry, residential, commercial and biogenic activities), each of which  
8 is emitting a different distribution of constituents. Many of these directly emitted  
9 primary pollutants are subsequently involved in reactions in the atmosphere to produce  
10 secondary pollutants (e.g., NO+O<sub>3</sub> to form NO<sub>2</sub>; nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and volatile  
11 organic compounds (VOC) interacting to form ozone in the presence of sunlight;  
12 secondary organic aerosols derived from oxidation of VOCs). Given the spatial  
13 variability in pollution sources and sinks over short distances, the heterogeneity in the  
14 pollutant mix emitted and the non-linearity and varying time scales of secondary  
15 formation processes, the urban environment is challenging with respect to accurate  
16 characterization of air pollutant patterns and subsequent estimates of population and  
17 individual-level long term or chronic exposures (e.g., Costabile et al., 2009; Freiman et  
18 al., 2006). It should be noted that other factors also take part in determining exposure  
19 such as indoor-outdoor infiltration and individual time activity patterns (Monn, 2001).  
20 These factors can obscure how well outdoor spatial contrasts represent actual exposure  
21 leading to apparent modification of the effect of ambient concentrations (e.g., Janssen et  
22 al., 2002).

1 Although different methods for estimating chronic human exposure patterns across  
2 urban areas are being used, ultimately they all depend upon measurements of  
3 concentrations at multiple locations that ideally reflect long term average conditions and  
4 the range of levels that occur across space. Such measurements are used to develop  
5 empirical models and/or to validate physically-based models predicting concentrations  
6 based upon meteorological and emissions data. Point measurements obtained at routine  
7 air quality (AQ) monitoring sites have been used for both of these purposes (e.g.,  
8 Beckerman et al., 2013; Cyrus et al., 2005; Hystad et al., 2011; Yuval et al., 2013) and  
9 they have the advantage of capturing long term conditions. However, because routine  
10 monitoring tends to focus on urban background locations, particularly in North America,  
11 the data are rarely able to sufficiently capture the spatial variability existing in the urban  
12 environment (Wheeler et al., 2008) failing to adequately quantify the peak concentrations  
13 and hence the actual concentration and chronic exposure gradients. Clearly, spatial  
14 interpolation of the same AQ site data (e.g., ordinary kriging and inverse distance  
15 weighting) will also fail to show the true spatial pattern in concentration since this  
16 approach does not take into consideration urban features like road networks, point  
17 sources and green spaces that influence local emissions and concentrations (Jerrett et al.,  
18 2007).

19 Intensive campaigns that deploy on the order of 10-100 monitors across a city over  
20 limited time periods have successfully been used in many cities to obtain information that  
21 more realistically captures the concentration gradients. These ‘saturation monitoring’  
22 datasets can then serve as the source of the dependent variable in empirical exposure  
23 model development, such as Land Use Regression (LUR) (e.g., Brauer et al., 2003;

1 Henderson et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2010; Kanaroglou et al., 2005). These approaches  
2 may also include datasets collected sequentially to increase the overall number of  
3 locations with active sampling for measurement of particles, although this requires  
4 temporal adjustments (e.g., Cyrus et al., 2005; Eeftens et al., 2012; Hochadel et al., 2006;  
5 Wang et al., 2013) or more complex spatial-temporal modeling methodologies (Gryparis  
6 et al., 2007; Szpiro et al., 2010) in the model development. Since the magnitude of the  
7 temporal adjustment can also vary spatially and is not well-characterized this can result in  
8 additional uncertainty in estimates of the long-term spatial patterns.

9 Dispersion models that predict the spatial pattern across the entire urban area (Cyrus et  
10 al., 2005; Hirtl and Baumann-Stanzer, 2007) or within the grid squares of more-advanced  
11 numerical models (Beevers et al., 2012; Isakov et al., 2007a; Nordling et al., 2008), have  
12 also been developed for estimating chronic human exposure patterns. These more  
13 physically-based dispersion modeling approaches are limited by the accuracy and level of  
14 detail of the meteorological and emissions input data and are generally used for  
15 predicting the spatial pattern of a small number of tracer pollutants (i.e., non-reactive).  
16 The more-advanced models, such as CMAQ, have also been considered directly for  
17 chronic exposure assignment (Marshall et al., 2008) and have the potential advantage of  
18 predicting concentrations of multiple primary and secondary pollutants in a consistent  
19 and comprehensive manner, although with varying degrees of confidence. However, they  
20 are limited by the grid cell size of a few square kilometers at best and therefore cannot  
21 resolve sub-grid (i.e., neighbourhood scale) variability. Hybrid approaches combining  
22 physical and empirical models in order to represent exposure across grid and sub-grid  
23 scales have also recently been proposed (Crooks and Isakov, 2013; Yuval et al., 2013).

1 Despite the need for intensive monitoring campaigns, spatial or spatial-temporal  
2 empirical models are thus far the most common approach for chronic exposure prediction  
3 within urban areas. As a result, they have been applied successfully in a large number of  
4 epidemiological studies in North America and Europe (e.g., Brauer et al., 2007, 2008;  
5 Brook et al., 2008; Crouse et al., 2010; Gehring et al., 2010; Jerrett et al., 2009; Rivera et  
6 al., 2013; Thiering et al., 2013; Villeneuve et al., 2013). One limitation with these  
7 approaches is the small number of air pollutants they consider because of difficulty in  
8 simultaneously measuring multiple pollutants during the multi-site intensive campaigns.  
9 As a result, it remains difficult to fully explore mutlipollutant exposures to study their  
10 combined effect or to assess the differential effects of different pollutants or to gain  
11 insight regarding the characteristics of the pollutant mixtures typically being represented  
12 by single indicator pollutants (Levy et al., 2014).

13 Mobile laboratories have also frequently been used for examining intra-urban  
14 variability of a range of air pollutants and may provide an alternate approach for  
15 obtaining data for spatial or spatial-temporal empirical model development (e.g., Larson  
16 et al., 2009; Patton et al., 2014). If sufficiently large to house and power multiple  
17 instruments and if deployed in a systematic manner, mobile labs could potentially  
18 generate comparable data to the traditional fixed site intensive monitoring campaigns for  
19 multiple air pollutants simultaneously.

20 Mobile labs or mobile measurement strategies have often been used to obtain highly  
21 resolved measurements both in time and space, but typically during relatively short time  
22 periods and for a limited number of pollutants (Bukowiecki et al., 2003; Durant et al.,  
23 2010; Fujita et al., 2011; Hagler et al., 2010; Isakov et al., 2007b; Weimer et al., 2009;



1 Westerdahl et al., 2005; Zwack et al., 2011). Short term measurement campaigns are  
2 common in atmospheric chemistry and air quality studies (e.g., Daum et al., 2003; Brook  
3 et al., 2013; Pennington et al., 2012) and for exploring chemical and physical processes  
4 the short time frame may not be a limitation if relevant cases are captured. However, for  
5 describing spatial and temporal behaviour, such as intra-urban variability for informing  
6 and developing empirical population exposure models (e.g., Dijkema et al., 2011) or the  
7 nature and frequency of certain events of interest (e.g., exceedence of guidelines) it is  
8 important to consider the representativeness of the short term study period. Yet this is  
9 rarely examined rigorously, though it can be relevant for framing the conclusions in the  
10 proper context.

11 Similarly, while saturation monitoring has proven to be useful for exposure model  
12 development, it is also important consider whether the time period(s) when the intensive  
13 campaign is conducted characterizes actual long-term spatial gradients. Capturing a  
14 typical period is challenging because of temporal variability in meteorology. Jerrett et al.  
15 (2007) compared their intensive campaign measurements and the NO<sub>2</sub> predictions from  
16 the model they developed to longer term values reported at monitoring sites. Differences  
17 of up to 26% were observed, although this could only be assessed for a few sites. Xu et  
18 al. (2007) examined representativeness versus number of measurements for multiple  
19 pollutants and several Canadian cities. For VOCs Miller et al. (2012) showed that  
20 measurements in the transition seasons tend to be more representative. Consistent with  
21 this, Henderson et al. (2007) carried out their two week Vancouver, BC, monitoring  
22 campaigns in the late winter and late summer based upon the greatest likelihood of  
23 representativeness. In terms of chronic exposure rankings, Wheeler et al. (2008)

1 compared the variation observed across 54 sites in Windsor, Ontario, among seasons.  
2 They found Spearman correlations between an individual season and the average pattern  
3 among seasons of 0.84 (summer) to 0.97 (spring) for NO<sub>2</sub>. This range decreased to 0.75-  
4 0.93 for SO<sub>2</sub>, but overall the ranking of locations captured by a discrete two week periods  
5 appeared to be stable. Wheeler et al. (2008) obtained similar results for benzene and  
6 toluene. Cyrus et al. (2006) compared the annual, seasonal and monthly means  
7 calculated from daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> measurements taken over 7 days per month for one year to  
8 daily measurements taken on all days of the same year in Erfurt, Germany. They found  
9 that while the annual and seasonal means showed small bias (1.7 µg m<sup>3</sup> and 2.7 µg m<sup>3</sup>,  
10 respectively) the monthly means “can only be considered to be a crude estimate that may  
11 substantially under- or overestimate the true monthly mean value”.

12 Mobile measurements have two main challenges associated with their use for  
13 examining long term average air pollutant patterns. The first is the fact that a mobile lab  
14 can only measure one location at a time and the second is that a relatively limited number  
15 of measurement days can be done because of the costs involved, particularly when large,  
16 heavily instrumented mobile labs are involved. A systematic approach is therefore  
17 needed to efficiently characterize representative long term patterns of the multipollutant  
18 mix in a complex urban environment from mobile measurements. This approach should  
19 involve multiple measurements at the same locations over different days and different  
20 seasons with high spatiotemporal resolution and with a large suite of measuring devices  
21 examining both gaseous and particulate pollutants simultaneously.

22 In this study, high resolution mobile measurements of multiple air pollutants were  
23 taken in the city of Montreal, Quebec, Canada, over multiple days with a focus on

1 multipollutant spatial contrasts. The underlying hypothesis explored is that a systematic  
2 deployment strategy of a mobile laboratory can produce measurements that are  
3 representative for long term exposure levels and gradients within urban areas. Therefore,  
4 the objectives of this paper are to evaluate a deployment strategy of a mobile lab in a  
5 large city undertaken to characterize longer term average concentrations relevant to  
6 chronic exposures and then to apply the location-average data collected by this strategy to  
7 assess multi-scale, multipollutant spatial contrasts to reveal more about sources, hotspots  
8 and how exposure potentially varies within the population. This is hoped to move us  
9 closer to understanding urban mixtures and to provide insight to help in the interpretation  
10 and development of epidemiological studies and statistical methods that seek to address  
11 the multipollutant exposure and health effect questions, particularly as it pertains to  
12 TRAP.

## 14 2 Methods

15 Air quality and meteorological measurements were taken by Environment Canada's  
16 mobile lab: Canadian Regional and Urban Investigation System for Environmental  
17 Research (CRUISER). CRUISER is a diesel engine vehicle (GMC C7500 medium duty  
18 truck) equipped with a power generator and climate control systems that maintain stable  
19 conditions inside the customized vehicle body, housing research-grade measurement  
20 instruments. To maximize data collection during deployments CRUISER measurements  
21 are typically taken on a 24 hour basis for the entire time period it is present in a study  
22 location. Thus, much of the data are obtained when the vehicle is parked and plugged in  
23 at its "home base" location. Depending upon the study objectives there are typically

multiple periods of mobile measurements when CRUISER drives and measures, occasionally stopping to obtain fixed point data, meteorological measurements and to cross-reference with existing AQ monitoring sites.

## 2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted on the Island of Montreal, which has 1.8 million inhabitants (Statistics Canada, 2011), but a larger population in the city of Montreal and the surrounding area of 3.8 million, being the second largest city in Canada (Statistics Canada, 2011). As with most large cities, air pollution in Montreal is spatially variable (Crouse et al., 2009; Gilbert et al., 2005). Pollution sources on the island besides traffic include a variety of industrial activities, oil and gas refining, storage and distribution facilities, petrochemicals, metal refining, light manufacturing, multiple port areas, as well as heating (in the winter) (Environment Canada, 2006). Figure 1 shows a map of the city providing information on the different land uses, main roads and major point sources.

## 2.2 Measurements

The Montreal measurement campaign was conducted during 2009 in three seasons (winter, summer and autumn), with the combined analysis of the entire period referred to as the campaign average. There was an approximately three week deployment in each season (13/01 – 11/02; 8/07 – 3/09; 19/11 – 3/12) and this paper focuses on the mobile portion of these measurements (i.e., excluding times CRUISER was parked overnight and other non-driving days) in order to characterize spatial patterns in detail.

Measurements of 19 different parameters were taken simultaneously from the CRUISER platform throughout the campaign at time resolutions ranging from 0.5 second

1 to 2 minutes. Four additional species were derived from the measurements. Geo-  
2 location was recorded with a Garmin 176C GPS system every second and CRUISER's  
3 speed was determined from the GPS data. A list of the parameters measured, instruments  
4 used, their temporal resolution and detection limit is given in Table 1. The inlets for the  
5 air quality instruments were located at the roof of the vehicle, 3.6 m a.g.l. oriented near  
6 the front left side. The GRIMM Dust monitor, used for particle mass measurements (i.e.,  
7  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{1.0}$ ), had a separate inlet to enable the capture of coarse particles, but  
8 due it is slow flow rate and the impact of horizontal speed on the capture efficiency of  
9 larger particles a correction based upon CRUISER's speed was applied. A description of  
10 the inlets and data management is given in the Supplemental Material C. Speed  
11 correction to the GRIMM particle measurements is discussed in Supplemental Material  
12 D.

13 Data were flagged for invalid periods, below detection limit and missing values for  
14 each instrument. The data were then combined to one dataset with the time increment set  
15 to one second, and instruments with greater time intervals were given repeating values to  
16 reflect the more-integrated sampling. Although the spatial allocation of the  
17 measurements with longer time intervals is not as refined as for those with the one second  
18 time resolution, the road segments are sampled differently each time the route is driven  
19 and therefore the multiple repetitions of the route have good potential to spatially resolve  
20 the concentration patterns to finer scales than the distance traveled at these times would  
21 imply. An additional flag was used to indicate the likely impact of CRUISER's own  
22 plume when it was at stop sites (Fig. 1), so as to exclude such measurements in the  
23 analysis. Data from multiple studies indicate that this impact was most likely occurring

1 when the vehicle speed was  $<10 \text{ km h}^{-1}$ , brief peak concentrations are observed with NO  
2 levels  $\geq 20 \text{ ppbv}$  and particle counts  $> 3000 \text{ \#/cc}$ . This resulted in 4% of the mobile  
3 measurements being flagged, of which those occurring at stop sites were excluded.

#### 4 2.3 Mobile Measurement Strategy for Estimating Longer-Term Averages

5 The strategy for CRUISER's mobile measurements was to travel along pre-defined  
6 routes, passing along or near highways, main roads and local streets, as well as  
7 residential, commercial and industrial areas (Fig. 1c). Two routes were determined and  
8 systematically followed: 1) East Montreal; 2) Central and west Montreal. The east route  
9 was used most often due to greater impact of industrial emissions and because of an  
10 asthma study being conducted in that part of the city (Dobbin et al., 2011). For both  
11 routes, the starting point of travel along the route was changed randomly each day so as  
12 to avoid sampling the same location at the same time of day. On any given mobile  
13 measurement day, which was typically between 0900-2000 hr (i.e., nighttime conditions  
14 were not part of the mobile campaign), the entire route was completed, while on a few  
15 days the route was covered twice or 1.5 times with the reminder completed the next day.  
16 This allowed for multiple samplings of the same locations on different days, times and  
17 seasons, but with common days among all locations for key parts of the city (i.e., East  
18 Montreal). The goal was to have sufficient measurements spread over days and seasons  
19 so as to increase confidence that the longer term averages derived for any given part of  
20 the route and differences between locations were representative of typical conditions.  
21 This is assessed in the first part of the results.

22 The median travel speeds calculated from the GPS were 20, 28 and  $30 \text{ km hr}^{-1}$  for  
23 winter, summer and autumn, respectively. Due to these slow traveling speeds, CRUISER

1 was rarely on highways when in such areas and instead tended to drive on service roads  
2 parallel to the highways at some distance from the busiest lanes, sometimes with a  
3 dividing wall (2-5 m high) separating the express lanes from the service road. Figure 1c  
4 shows that a large majority of the sampling was conducted in residential areas, where  
5 local traffic was at a minimum, and other areas where the population spends time.

6 The mobile routine also involved measurements at 17 pre-defined parking locations  
7 (stop sites), such as government AQ monitoring sites. These stationary sites were visited  
8 for 10-30 minutes each time to create a selection of “point samples”. Meteorological  
9 measurements were only possible during these stationary periods due to the 10 meter  
10 height of the telescoping tower. When stopping near a site was not possible (e.g., wind  
11 was too stagnant to allow orientation of CRUISER to avoid its own plume), the vehicle  
12 drove at slow speeds ‘circling’ the location by driving around the local block. These  
13 measurements are referred to as ‘pseudo-stops’ and all data were flagged to note times  
14 when CRUISER was stationary, pseudo-stationary or mobile.

15 There were 11, 17 and 6 mobile measurement days in the winter, summer and autumn,  
16 respectively, with 2-13 hours on each day (median of 9 hours). Figure 1c shows the  
17 number of measurements per km of road for the entire campaign; indicating more than  
18 2,000 one second measuring points  $\text{km}^{-1}$  along the pre-defined route. The greater the  
19 number of visits to an area the more representative the available data will be of the long  
20 term. Xu et al. (2007) used Canadian monitoring data from multiple cities to show that  
21 the number of random visits needed to estimate the long term average with a desired  
22 percent error (e.g., 20%) depends upon pollutant. Fewer visits are required for  $\text{NO}_2$ ,

1 while more are needed for NO or CO. About 20 one hour visits per season are needed to  
2 have a 95% chance of estimating the annual average NO<sub>2</sub> with 10% error.

3 The eastern route was completed 26 times, of which 11 were in the winter, 14 in the  
4 summer and 1 in the autumn. Based upon Xu et al. (2007) this translates, approximately,  
5 into 95% confidence that the estimate in annual average NO<sub>2</sub> is within 15% of the actual  
6 value for the summer and winter. Actual long-term representativeness is assessed below  
7 and as discussed above is important. However, it is useful to note that the spatial patterns  
8 and particularly the pollutant interrelationships observed are expected to be a reliable  
9 representation of the conditions in Montreal given that all locations were visited on the  
10 same days and all pollutant measurements were obtained simultaneously. In fact, the  
11 spatial patterns shown in this paper are believed to represent an improvement over most  
12 other mobile studies of spatial patterns and near roadway gradients (e.g., Beckerman et  
13 al., 2008; Hagler et al., 2010; Massoli et al., 2012) given the large number of  
14 measurements for multiple pollutants obtained in a systematic nature on multiple days  
15 and at different times in the year across a large, complex city.

#### 16 2.4 Comparison to the Air Quality Monitoring Network

17 Stops and pseudo-stops next to seven different AQ sites (Fig. 1a) were used for  
18 comparison between the mobile and routine measurements (i.e., government monitoring  
19 network sites). In addition to providing some measure of quality control and assessment  
20 of comparability, this was done to provide a link between the mobile lab work and the  
21 traditional monitoring such as for obtaining insight into the spatial representativeness of  
22 the AQ network site locations. These concurrent, side-by-side measurements were also  
23 necessary to be able to quantify the long term representativeness of the average



1 CRUISER measurements derived from our deployment and data analysis strategy. One  
2 minute readings of CO, NO, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> and one hour readings of PM<sub>2.5</sub> were  
3 provided by the local government agency (Ville de Montreal; 'VdM') for the periods  
4 corresponding to the CRUISER mobile campaign and hourly VdM data were obtained for  
5 all other times in 2009. Results of this direct comparison are given in Supplemental  
6 Material E.

7 Two different 2009 VdM annual metrics were calculated to represent the long term  
8 pollution levels: the daily average (i.e., all 24 hours) and the daytime average (i.e.,  
9 between 0900-2000 hr), which better corresponds to the times of day CRUISER was  
10 driving. These two long term metrics are compared to two different short-term averages;  
11 (1) the VdM daily averaged values on the days CRUISER took mobile measurements  
12 during the nine separate weeks it spent in Montreal and (2) the average of all CRUISER  
13 stops and pseudo stops after eliminating minutes suspected of being impacted by the  
14 mobile lab plume. These CRUISER data were first averaged according to visit and then  
15 for all visits at an AQ site so that each visit received equal weight.

## 16 2.5 Spatial analysis

17 Since CRUISER's measurements were taken along roads and because of the sharp  
18 gradient in concentrations of some pollutants with distance away from the road (e.g.,  
19 Karner et al., 2010), analysis of the measurements was done by grouping them according  
20 to road segment, using ESRI's ArcGIS 9.3 (ESRI, Redland, CA). First, the road network  
21 of Montreal was used to extract only the roads CRUISER had visited, so as to avoid  
22 attributing measurements to the crossing roads. Then, each of CRUISER's measurement  
23 points were assigned to the nearest road segment within a distance of 20 meters. Samples

1 were averaged per road segment first by day and then averaged for all days, so as to give  
2 an equal weight for every day and avoid bias towards days with more measurements  
3 (e.g., greater measurements on a segment due to slower driving speed).

4 To assure proper representativeness of the measurements at each road segment, only  
5 segments that meet the following criteria were used in the analysis: more than 100 valid  
6 one second measurements of the examined pollutant, more than 100 valid measurements  
7 per km of the examined pollutant and that the measurements were spread over 3 or more  
8 different days. Most road segments included in the analysis were sampled on 17 different  
9 days or more. Statistical analysis was done with the open-source statistical language R  
10 (RDCT, 2009).

11 Since CRUISER is inherently limited to taking measurements while traveling on  
12 roads, an argument can be made that these measurements are limited in that they only  
13 represent road emissions and traffic related pollution and not exposure levels at a home  
14 address, which are often used in health studies, for example. However, for 38% of the  
15 time CRUISER took measurements on local roads in residential areas, where it met few  
16 other vehicles and therefore measured ambient residential pollution levels. Similarly,  
17 even on busier roads, there were many periods when cross-winds blew the air and  
18 pollutants from over the areas adjacent to the road to CRUISER's inlet. This implies that  
19 the dominant impact on the mean road segment concentrations was not solely the result  
20 of very local emissions associated with nearby traffic, but instead tended to be  
21 representative of a realistic mix of these local emissions and general "neighbourhood"  
22 conditions over that part of the driving route.

23

### 3 Results

In this section, we first compare the measurements obtained by CRUISER to routine measurements taken by the AQ monitoring network to compare campaign averages to the actual annual average (i.e., to assess representativeness). We then examine the spatial variability of several pollutants at the sub-urban scale with respect to their emission sources and quantitatively examine the representativeness of air quality monitoring sites to various microenvironments in their vicinity. We then compare the rates of decay of several pollutants with distance from a highway based upon campaign average observations.

#### 3.1 Representativeness of the Mobile Measurements

Although important for mobile surveys to undertake, comparison to AQ network sites is complicated by the fact that there can be differences in the measurement methods used. Results of direct comparisons of concurrent CRUISER and VdM measurements are described in Supplemental Material E and here the focus is on assessing long-term representativeness. Figure 2 shows a comparison of CRUISER's mean  $\text{NO}_x$  during stops near VdM monitoring sites to VdM's concurrent measurements, to VdM's 2009 daytime averages and to VdM's 2009 daily averages. Ratios of these different metrics are given in Table 2. This one pollutant ( $\text{NO}_x$ ) is selected because, due to the methodological differences discussed in Supplemental Material E for each pollutant, the most confident comparison of CRUISER's estimate of the annual average in each area to the actual AQ network values is expected to be based upon  $\text{NO}_x$ . Comparisons of CRUISER vs. the VdM 2009 averages for the other pollutants are shown in Fig. SM-A1 in the supplemental material.

1 The VdM average among all the driving days was calculated to determine if,  
2 collectively, the driving days in each season were atypical of the annual averages (ratios  
3 C/D and B/D in Table 2). Table 2 shows that on the selected driving days  $\text{NO}_x$  tended to  
4 be higher on average by 18%, compared to the 2009 daily averages. However, the  
5 overall ranking among the sites during these days was similar to the annual pattern (Fig.  
6 2). For the other pollutants ( $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ,  $\text{SO}_2$ ,  $\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{NO}$  and  $\text{NO}_2$ ) the average difference  
7 between the study period VdM observations and the annual average among the sites with  
8 measurements were 9%, 16%, -1%, -23%, 28% and 12%, respectively (Table 2). Thus  
9 average concentrations on the days that the mobile measurements were conducted tended  
10 to be biased high compared to the 2009 average, except  $\text{O}_3$ , which was biased low.  
11 However, in terms of combustion pollutant levels ( $\text{NO}_x$ ), the average high bias was 18%  
12 for the period, while for  $\text{NO}_2$ , which is often of most interest as an exposure indicator, the  
13 bias was smaller, at 12%.

14 CRUISER's deployment strategy of limited, but random collection of daytime  
15 measurements at and around each VdM site also tended to yield reasonably representative  
16 values for  $\text{NO}_x$ . Table 2 shows the ratio of CRUISER's estimates to the 2009 daily  
17 average (ratio type A/D) was between 23% and -24%. Furthermore, despite even fewer  
18 measurements at AQ5, CRUISER's campaign average observations correctly identified  
19 this site as having the highest  $\text{NO}_x$ . Consistent with the 2009 average pattern CRUISER  
20 also showed that the variability among all sites except AQ5 was relatively small.  
21 However, CRUISER observed a greater variability than tended to exist. Further focusing  
22 on the two VdM sites measuring  $\text{NO}_x$  where there was >18 visits, which corresponds to  
23 the survey in east Montreal, the observed range was 16.1-16.6 ppbv (2009 daily average)

1 and CRUISER reported a 17.4-19.8 ppbv range. Information on the differences between  
2 CRUISER short-term estimates of the average and the actual 2009 average for the other  
3 pollutants is shown in Fig. SM-A1. These comparisons should be interpreted with  
4 caution, however, given the measurement method differences discussed in Supplemental  
5 Material E and the overarching issue of limited data at most sites except 2-3 in the east.  
6 Figure SM-A1 shows, for example, that for NO<sub>2</sub> CRUISER was within 20%, on average.  
7 This is reasonably close, but 5% less accurate than the expected error upon Xu et al.,  
8 (2007). As expected, for the sites only visited 8 times the CRUISER longer term average  
9 NO<sub>2</sub> estimate was biased low by up to 35% compared to the true annual average.

### 10 3.2 Intra-urban variability observed by CRUISER

11 The multiple pollutant measurements on CRUISER allowed for focus on differences  
12 in campaign average spatial patterns among pollutants. This is exemplified for four  
13 pollutants (NO<sub>2</sub>, particle number concentration (PNC), SO<sub>2</sub> and Benzene) in the east  
14 Montreal maps shown in Fig. 3. Traffic related pollutants, such as NO<sub>2</sub> and PNC, show  
15 highest concentrations near the highways (e.g., NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios of up to 80 ppbv and  
16 PNC counts of up to 215,000 #/cc near Highways 40 and 25 shown in Figs. 4a and 4b).  
17 Lower concentrations were measured on major roads (12-28 ppbv NO<sub>2</sub> and 30,000-  
18 55,000 #/cc PNC) and the lowest in residential areas (<12 ppbv NO<sub>2</sub> and <30,000 #/cc  
19 PNC). These differences are consistent with the reported emissions for Quebec in 2007,  
20 that the transportation sector accounted for 74% of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, compared to 15%  
21 from industrial sources, including 2% from oil refineries (Busque et al., 2009).

22 Other pollutants that have a significant contribution from industrial emission sources,  
23 such as SO<sub>2</sub> and Benzene, show a different intra-urban spatial pattern, with high

1 concentrations around the industrialized eastern part of the city between highways 40 and  
2 138 (Figs. 4c and 4d, respectively; roads identified in Fig. 1b). For SO<sub>2</sub>, Busque et al.  
3 (2009) report that, provincially, transportation accounted for only 11%, compared to 7%  
4 from the oil refineries, with the aluminum smelters contributing 30% and other industrial  
5 sources contributing 24%. With no aluminum smelters on the Island of Montreal and  
6 transportation being spread over the entire road network, oil refineries and other  
7 industrial point sources have a dominant contribution to SO<sub>2</sub> as is seen in Fig. 3c.  
8 Benzene emissions are not reported independently in Busque et al. (2009), however for  
9 volatile organic compounds (VOC's) transportation accounted for 37%, gasoline and  
10 diesel marketing for 5% and other industries for 27%.

11 Sulphur dioxide and VOCs such as Benzene are of interest in East Montreal given  
12 their link to the refining and petrochemical industries. The mobile measurements  
13 indicate that even though broad similarities for these two pollutants are evident over the  
14 urban scale shown in Fig. 3, there are differences in their behaviour on smaller scales.  
15 For example, the peak area with SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (marked A in Fig. 3c) is located  
16 further north-east than the Benzene peak area (marked B in Fig. 3d). Also, the area of  
17 elevated SO<sub>2</sub> identified as 'C' is not accompanied by higher Benzene. Instead, this area  
18 appears to be accompanied by higher NO<sub>2</sub>. These elevated campaign average  
19 concentrations are hypothesized to be indicative of the influence of ship emissions and/or  
20 diesel trucks servicing one of the port areas, given the proximity of this portion of the  
21 driving route to the St. Lawrence River and the large port area for container ships. Apart  
22 from the four pollutants described above, spatial patterns of all the other pollutants  
23 measured on this study were evaluated (not shown) and have been used in subsequent

1 analyses (Brook et al., 2013; Levy et al., 2014). Spatial differences are examined further  
2 based upon urban micro-environment and distance from the highway in the next section  
3 below.

4 Levy et al (2014) reported on the spatial correlations among all the parameters  
5 measured across Montreal highlighting which pollutants tend to co-vary and which are  
6 associated with different sources. From Fig. 3 and Levy et al. (2014) it can be seen that  
7 some mixes of pollutants show affinity to roads (BC, CO, HOA, NO, NO<sub>x</sub>, OM, PM<sub>1</sub>,  
8 PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PNC) and others are related to industry (SO<sub>2</sub>, Toluene, Xylene). Clearly, a  
9 range of interesting features related to sources and potentially more-relevant to chronic  
10 exposures are revealed through CRUISER's deployment approach. Another example is  
11 the port area mentioned above (high SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>). However, describing in detail how  
12 the multipollutant mix associated with the large number of potential sources varies is  
13 beyond the scope of this paper. Furthermore, the behavior of the mixtures varies on  
14 multiple spatial scales, each requiring follow-up analysis. For example, differences in the  
15 variation in SO<sub>2</sub> and Benzene concentrations along a single road crossing Highway 40  
16 and passing next to the Petro-Canada oil refinery to the north and the Ultramar oil  
17 distribution terminal to the south (Avenue Marien, location shown by arrow in Fig. 3a)  
18 shows that some peaks in concentration occur together while others do not (Fig. SM-A2).  
19 Furthermore, ratios of Toluene to Benzene in the peaks and between peaks also vary.  
20 These small scale differences are indicative of how different processes within a single  
21 large industrial facility (refinery) may differentially impact surrounding locations with  
22 systematically different pollutant mixtures.

### 3.3 Microenvironments and sub-neighbourhood scale variability

In order to characterize the extent that chronic exposure levels can vary among a range of typical settings in an urban environment, ten small East Montreal areas that were visited by CRUISER were selected and compared (Fig. 4). The distributions of the campaign averages among these different settings are also compared to measurements taken by CRUISER when at the nearest AQ site during stops and pseudo-stops. These sites are included in Fig. 4 to provide insight into the representativeness of the monitoring site locations and hence the possible error or bias resulting from assigning or modeling chronic exposure estimates based only upon available monitoring network data.

The ten areas were selected so that they represent a range of urban microenvironments while also being relatively close in proximity to one another, thus reflecting the activity space over which individuals might typically travel, especially children and the elderly. Their locations within the city are shown in Fig. 1b, labeled as A-E, and in greater detail in Fig. 4. The areas selected include: the intersection of two main roads - Rue Sherbrooke East and Blv. St-Jean-Baptiste (A1); an active commercial area on Rue Sherbrooke East (A2) near A1; a residential street (Rue Forsyth) ~80 m east and parallel to A2 (A3). These are located on the east side of the island in proximity to the oil refineries and are compared to the nearest monitoring site (AQ1, within 1.2 km of all these areas).

In the middle of Fig. 4 another grouping of sites is shown in comparison to their local monitoring site (AQ2), at distances of 0.7-1.0 km. The settings for these five areas are: a moderately busy road in a residential area (Anjou) next to houses (B1); a section of the same road next to an active commercial area (B2); a local road in a residential area next



1 to a service road and a busy highway (HW-15), but with a noise blocking wall separating  
2 the highway and the service road from the local road (C1); near an exit ramp of the local  
3 major highway (HW-15) bordering the residential area without a noise blocking wall  
4 (C2); a street corner in a residential area with a small car repair shop (D) in Anjou. The  
5 pair B1 and B2 is located east of the busy interchange of Highways 40 and 25.

6 The last grouping of microenvironments, shown on the right side of Fig. 4,  
7 corresponds to monitoring site AQ3 at a distance of 2 km or less. These two areas are  
8 located west of the other areas and are generally upwind from the major stationary  
9 emission sources and traffic corridors and include a residential street (E1) and an  
10 intersection of two local roads (E2) some 500 m from E1.

11 Each area in Fig. 4, as well as the AQ sites, were visited an equal number of times,  
12 mostly on the same days and in all seasons. The distributions in Fig. 4 show all of  
13 CRUISER's measurements for these periods, first averaged by day and then presented in  
14 box plots for all days for different pollutants. Visually, differences in the levels among  
15 the areas are evident. Significant differences between the areas were assessed for each  
16 pollutant separately by the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test followed by the Wilcoxon  
17 Rank Sum test for pairwise group comparisons. Areas with a similar letter are  
18 significantly different ( $p$ -value<0.05). Based only upon the distributions of the values  
19 averaged by day for each location the differences were only significant for NO<sub>2</sub> and BC.  
20 However, due to the small sample size for these tests (<20 days) the analysis was  
21 repeated with the actual 1 second data. As shown in Fig. SM-A3 many more significant  
22 differences are detectable for all pollutants examined using the higher resolution data.

1 The mean NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios (red squares in Fig. 4) at the traffic affected areas A1 and  
2 A2 are double the 10 ppbv measured next to the nearest monitoring site, AQ1, while the  
3 residential area A3 has mixing ratios similar to AQ1. This indicates that the monitoring  
4 site under-estimates the exposure levels for A1 and A2 while providing a representative  
5 estimate for A3. AQ1 also under-represents PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC and PNC for A1 when examining  
6 both median (A1 is higher by 49%, 21% and 60%, respectively) and mean (A1 is higher  
7 by 78%, 68% and 128%, respectively). Comparing the busy intersection A1 with the  
8 active commercial area A2 and the residential street A3, concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> are higher  
9 for A1 than A2 and for A2 than A3 (Fig. 4a), as can be expected given the characteristics  
10 of those areas. The same differences in the distributions are also seen for PNC for these  
11 three areas (Fig. 4e).

12 NO<sub>2</sub> measurements next to the main road microenvironment at C1 and C2 are  
13 considerably higher than the monitoring site AQ2 with the median (mean) higher by 10  
14 and 14 ppbv, respectively (20 and 16 ppbv, respectively), an increase of 210-265%.  
15 Ozone at C1 and C2 is showing a corresponding decrease of 32% from AQ2 levels. BC  
16 and PNC also have higher concentrations at C1 and C2 compared to AQ2 of more than  
17 180% for the median and 200% for the mean of BC and 300% for both mean and median  
18 of PNC. The mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> next to C2 is also higher than AQ2 by about 20%, providing  
19 evidence of its spatial variability. The resemblance between C1 and C2 for these  
20 pollutants, however, implies that on average the noise blocking wall in C1 has little effect  
21 on air pollution. Comparing areas E1 and E2, higher values of NO<sub>2</sub> (13.6 vs. 9.4 ppbv),  
22 BC (3.1 vs. 2.0 µg m<sup>-3</sup>), PNC (27,950 vs. 21,575 #/cc) and Toluene (0.63 vs. 0.48 ppbv)  
23 and lower O<sub>3</sub> (18.1 vs. 19.3 ppbv) are measured at the intersection area of E2 compared

1 to the residential area E1 near it. Clearly, any time spent at such an urban  
2 microenvironment enhances exposure and leads to greater misclassification if exposure is  
3 assigned according to the nearest AQ site.

4 Last, area D shows similar values to what is measured next to the monitoring site AQ2  
5 for most pollutants, with the exception of Toluene. The presence of a small paint and  
6 body shop in that area caused the high values of this organic compound with a mean of  
7 1.14 ppbv. Toluene levels in this localized microenvironment were 2-3 times higher than  
8 at the nearby monitoring site AQ2 (0.37 ppbv), and versus the nearby areas B1, B2, C1,  
9 C2 (0.40, 0.45, 0.53 and 0.45 ppbv, respectively). The levels were even somewhat higher  
10 than the mean measured at areas A1-A3 (0.76, 0.78 and 0.69 ppbv, respectively) and the  
11 monitoring site AQ1 (0.98 ppbv), which are in closer proximity to the petroleum  
12 industry. The difference between area D and the others for Toluene is much higher in the  
13 summer season (not shown), probably due to greater evaporation of this compound in  
14 higher temperatures and the fact that the shop more often operated with open doors in the  
15 summer.

#### 16 3.4 The impact of a busy highway: Near road to deep into residential areas

17 The comparisons shown in Fig. 4 provide some information on the increase in  
18 concentrations associated with proximity to traffic. To more-directly assess how the  
19 presence of a major highway impacts multipollutant exposure within an adjacent  
20 neighbourhood the measurements along a 1200 m cross-section perpendicular to  
21 Highway 40 in Anjou were isolated for further study. The observations start at a service  
22 road running parallel to Highway 40 and continue along Avenue Azilda (a one way street  
23 in a residential area with little traffic), as shown by an arrow in Fig. 1e. This cross-

1 section was sampled at different times of the day on 15 separate passes in the summer, 9  
2 in the winter and twice in the autumn and includes varying wind conditions (i.e.,  
3 measurement periods were not selected to always represent downwind conditions,  
4 although prevailing wind does place Avenue Azilda downwind more often than upwind).  
5 Measurements along this cross section for the whole campaign were aggregated  
6 according to 20 m bins and the median and inter-quartile range (IQR) per bin are plotted  
7 according to distance from the center of Highway 40 in Fig. 5. Along this cross-section  
8 there were occasional streets crossing perpendicular to Ave. Azilda and these are  
9 indicated by arrows across the top of the figure. We also added the mean of all  
10 measurements taken on the highway (east and west bound combined) as a point marker  
11 for indicating the on-road values, though these are not co-measured with the cross-section  
12 measurements. Also shown for comparison (blue lines in Fig. 5) is the synthesis of 41  
13 studies of near-road gradients presented by Karner et al. (2010) and (in black lines) spline  
14 smooth curve fits to the CRUISER median concentrations in order to remove small scale  
15 variability and help reveal the shape of the observed decreases in concentration.

16 With the exception of ozone, all pollutants in Fig. 5 show a decrease in concentration  
17 away from the highway for the campaign average. Some pollutants show a rapid  
18 decrease of more than 60% within a few tens of meters (i.e., decreases of 85%, 83%, 63%  
19 and 60% for NO, PNC, BC and CO, respectively, after 70 m), while others show a more  
20 moderate trend (i.e., decreases of 50%, 43%, 55%, 30% and 30% for NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>,  
21 HOA (combustion-related organic PM<sub>1.0</sub>) and Benzene, respectively, after 70 m). There  
22 appears to be some contribution from emissions in the vicinity of the first cross street  
23 although the amount of traffic on this street was much less than the highway and service

1 road. After adjustment to the edge of road values measured by CRUISER, these  
2 decreases and the curve fits are in general agreement with Karner et al. (2010) for most  
3 pollutants (NO, PNC, BC and CO). However, CRUISER's measurements did tend to  
4 show a more rapid change.

5 For particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ ) the gradients measured by CRUISER are  
6 greater than what is shown by Karner et al. (2010). Even though a sharp near road  
7 gradient in PM was observed in the summer (not shown), the main contribution to the  
8 sharp drop in the campaign average data is the elevated particles measured near Highway  
9 40 in the winter (not shown) in Montreal. On average,  $PM_{2.5}$  doubled from the  
10 neighbourhood background to the near highway environment while HOA, a component  
11 of  $PM_{2.5}$  which is expected to be a more-specific measure related to traffic fine particles  
12 (Sun et al., 2012), more than tripled in magnitude (see Fig. 6 described later).

13 There is evidence in the cross section plots that some pollutants (i.e.,  $NO_2$ , CO and  
14 HOA) remain slightly elevated above the background up to ~700 m from the highway.  
15 This was much more evident among the winter measurements although they are not  
16 shown here given this paper's focus on longer-term, average pollutant patterns. The  
17 maximum distance of the potential highway impact was further examined by calculating  
18 the normalized cross sections relative to the neighbourhood background levels, assumed  
19 to be represented by the concentrations at 1000-1500 m away, which is where the levels  
20 reached a minimum and showed no evidence of increasing or decreasing (Fig. 6). The  
21 bins used in Fig. 6 include the highway from 20 m west of the highway center to 20 m  
22 east, accounting for the measurements on the highway from separate visits traveling east  
23 and west bound up to a dividing acoustic wall (3 m high) separating it from the parallel

1 service road. The bins continue with separate 50 m bins (i.e., 20-70 m, 70-120 m,...)  
2 from the service road and along the transect on Avenue Azilda.

3 CO was observed to decrease consistently to the background concentration at about  
4 600 m from the highway, while NO<sub>2</sub> and HOA both appear to reach the background  
5 further away at about 700 m. There is an increase in some pollutants around 360 m from  
6 the highway which is most noticeable for NO, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and BC (Figs. 5b, 5e and 5g  
7 respectively) and is associated with a decrease in O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 5c). This is likely due to the  
8 contribution of the crossing road at that location, thus providing some insight into the  
9 potential impact smaller roads can have on exposure. Although the other crossing roads  
10 were not observed to have such an effect, it is likely that the road at 360 m contributed  
11 somewhat to the pollutant levels further downwind on some days. For NO<sub>2</sub> the elevated  
12 levels observed by CRUISER up to 700 m are supported in the synthesis presented by  
13 Karner et al. (2010) in Fig. 5a with NO<sub>2</sub> gradually reducing up to at least 500 m where  
14 the data ends, but appear to still be decreasing. The similarity between the decrease in  
15 NO<sub>2</sub> and HOA suggests a link between NO<sub>2</sub> and the organic fraction of fresh traffic-  
16 related particles.

17 Scale-up factors (i.e., percentages of increase relative to the neighborhood background  
18 levels) for multiple pollutants were calculated as a function of distance away from the  
19 highway center as described above for Fig. 6. These scale-up factors, which may enable  
20 estimates of typical near-road levels relative to urban background monitoring sites, are  
21 tabulated in the Supplemental Material Table SM-A1. The factors include in them the  
22 apparent contribution of the crossing roads which (mainly the first) appeared to have a  
23 stronger effect on NO, O<sub>3</sub>, BC and Benzene.

1

## 2 4 Discussion

3 In this study, strategic short term measurements taken with a mobile lab were  
4 compared both to the concurrent measurements at AQ monitoring sites in Montreal and  
5 to the annual averages at these sites to assess representativeness of the average  
6 concentrations derived from the mobile lab deployment. Determining how well a limited  
7 set of mobile lab measurements spread among three seasons captures the true long term  
8 pattern is complicated due to the fact that it is necessary to rely on the existing  
9 monitoring sites to determine the long term value, yet there are only a limited number of  
10 monitoring sites, which are often in urban background locations, that measurement  
11 methods are not the same between the network and the mobile lab due to different  
12 technical requirements and that the mobile lab is not always able to measure right next to  
13 the sample inlets at the sites. Despite these limitations we compared the averages of the  
14 short term (from CRUISER and from the corresponding day's 24 hr data from VdM) and  
15 2009 daily and daytime average values at a number of sites. Overall, except for O<sub>3</sub>, the  
16 study measurement days were found to have experienced higher than average pollutant  
17 levels. For example, NO<sub>x</sub>, which is a good indicator of urban combustion pollution, was  
18 higher on average by 18%, compared to the 2009 daily average. Averages derived from  
19 CRUISER's systematic visits of generally one per day spread through three seasons were  
20 within  $\pm 24\%$  of the 2009 daily average, thus only increasing the discrepancy by 6%  
21 despite much fewer data points.

22 Identification of the AQ sites with notably higher vs. lower annual average  
23 concentrations was generally possible with the limited number of mobile lab visits. For

1 example, both CRUISER's observations and the VdM data during the corresponding  
2 period correctly identified the location (AQ site) with the highest NO<sub>x</sub> levels, thus  
3 providing evidence that through a systematic deployment approach major concentration  
4 gradients across the city can be quantified. However, there were discrepancies,  
5 particularly when the differences between the long term values at the sites were small.  
6 For example, the 2009 mean NO<sub>x</sub> at four of the five sites visited only differed by a range  
7 of 1.3 ppb. Not surprisingly, the short term visits are not able to achieve the precision in  
8 their estimate of the annual average to similarly rank these sites within such a small  
9 overall concentration range. However, for characterizing spatial gradients and chronic  
10 exposure levels and for exposure model development detecting such small differences is  
11 less important than capturing the larger exposure differences that exist among various  
12 areas. While it is not surprising that AQ sites generally do not document the full  
13 exposure gradients, this also means that they are of less use for assessing whether the  
14 exposure gradients captured by a short term mobile lab survey are indicative of the true  
15 long term pattern.

16 Campaign average concentrations derived from CRUISER have provided a better  
17 picture of multipollutant spatial patterns and correlations (Levy et al., 2014) across  
18 multiple scales. They reveal systematic differences between neighbourhoods in the  
19 pollutant levels and in the characteristics of the multipollutant mixture that are related to  
20 variations in sources. The relatively large spatial coverage that mobile monitoring can  
21 provide also helps uncover changes in concentrations on very small scales and can even  
22 identify and characterize concentration hotspots resulting from small businesses  
23 operating in residential areas (e.g., dry cleaners, car repair shop or a restaurant), from



1 commercial areas and from intersections in a residential neighbourhood. Such small  
2 scale variations may result in large differences in exposures to air pollutants among the  
3 population, yet they are often not included in air quality models or other exposure models  
4 because of lack of detailed knowledge about the location and type of activity of small  
5 emitters or due to their small emission volumes compared to other major sources or  
6 inaccurate traffic data. Furthermore, our results show that local emitters may have an  
7 impact on a local scale that can be greater than that of major sources further away, even  
8 in comparison to levels closer to such sources.

9 The identification of unknown local air pollution hot spots is impossible with  
10 traditional measuring techniques (i.e., stationary AQ monitors), given that measuring  
11 sites are selected to either measure ambient levels or examine previously known emission  
12 sources. The ability to identify small scale emission sources and exposure hotspots with  
13 mobile measurements has been previously demonstrated (e.g., Fujita et al., 2013; Larson  
14 et al., 2007; Spengler et al., 2011). For example, Dionisio et al (2010) showed that  
15 measurements of  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  at stop sites with multiple woodstoves were  $30 \mu g m^{-3}$   
16 and  $85 \mu g m^{-3}$ , respectively, higher than the neighbourhood average at the same times in a  
17 study in Accra, Ghana. Larson et al., (2007) also obtained more detailed understanding  
18 of areas with higher exposures to woodsmoke emissions through mobile measurements in  
19 the Vancouver area. Levy et al. (2001) showed some evidence of elevated  $PM_{2.5}$  near  
20 diesel buses in a walking-mobile measurements study in Roxbury, Massachusetts, United  
21 States. Apart from the mobility aspect of these campaigns, which allows them to cover  
22 larger spatial domains, another advantage of mobile measurements is that they typically  
23 use shorter averaging times for the measurements of few seconds to few minutes (e.g.,

one second in this study and one minute by Levy et al. (2001)). This enables them to map fine spatial structures needed to identify hot spots.

Mobile labs are also a particularly useful platform for measuring spatial gradients in concentrations relative to sources and to study small scale processes. In this study, average CRUISER measurements from 26 independent days taken perpendicular to Highway 40 through a residential area have led to new insight into chronic exposure gradients. While all pollutants (with the exception of ozone) decrease in concentrations away from the highway, the rate of decrease differs by pollutant as is the distance that they spread into the adjacent neighbourhood. For several pollutants (i.e., NO<sub>2</sub>, CO and HOA) there is evidence in our measurements that on average they remain elevated above the background for up to 700 m. It should be noted that in Anjou some additional emissions (i.e., cross roads) may have played a role in the CRUISER observations, but these were relatively small compared to the highway. With HOA being associated with traffic fine particles (Canagaratna et al., 2010), this may hint to one reason for why NO<sub>2</sub> has often been used successfully as a traffic exposure indicator in assessing traffic-related health effects (e.g., Crouse et al., 2010; Jerrett et al., 2009). Firstly, the elevated NO<sub>2</sub> associated with a traffic source affects a larger percentage of the neighbourhood compared to some pollutants (e.g., PNC and NO). Secondly, if this greater extent of higher NO<sub>2</sub> is also accompanied by higher CO and HOA (and possibly other unmeasured pollutants such as trace metals or other more toxic organic particles) up to 700 m from a highway then the effects attributed to NO<sub>2</sub> are possibly due to these and other similarly-behaving pollutants and/or some or all of the mixture of particles and gases.

Greater spread of traffic particles has been observed elsewhere under certain conditions (e.g., Hu et al., 2009). Similarly, in Montreal it was wintertime conditions that favoured a greater spread of air pollutants (not shown), which was likely due to lower mixing heights and longer lifetimes of some pollutants due to less evaporation (i.e., colder temperatures) and/or less photochemistry. Even though the measurements were obtained from multiple days and seasons, which has rarely been done, the prevalence of the behaviour observed by CRUISER in the Anjou neighbourhood of Montreal and its relevance to other areas is unknown and thus some follow-up study should be considered to strengthen their value to exposure model development and possibly to guide urban planning. Nonetheless, here we have reported average concentration scale-up factors relative to urban background in Montreal as a function of distance from the highway as a potential first step in generalization to support future use.

Due to its relatively long lifetime in the atmosphere PM is generally considered to be spatially homogeneous over distances of a few kilometers (e.g., Martuzevicius et al., 2004), although Beckerman et al. (2008) has shown significant increases close to a major highway in Toronto. Here we also show that  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$  are affected by proximity to roads. This is also reflected by two important  $PM_{2.5}$  constituents; BC and HOA. The impact of the highway was particularly evident in the winter, when higher concentrations were measured up to 370 m away due to the combined effect of a more stable atmosphere near the ground (Bergeron and Strachan, 2012) and the use of road salt and sand for tire traction (Gertler et al., 2006). However, compared to the other pollutants explored in this paper and in Levy et al. (2014) average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations were, overall, more homogeneous and, with the exception of near the highways, the observed peak

1 concentrations appeared to be localized, short-lived and difficult to explain in terms of  
2 their source(s).

3 The capabilities of physically-based models to determine urban spatial patterns have  
4 improved in recent years. For example, the ability of comprehensive, multipollutant air  
5 quality models to run for longer periods at grid resolutions of 1-5 km is increasing (e.g.,  
6 Makar et al., 2010; Shrestha et al., 2009). Output from these higher resolution models  
7 may eventually lead to multipollutant information relevant to chronic exposure  
8 estimation. However, as shown in this paper and most other mobile lab studies, there  
9 remains a large and complex variability even within the finest model grids (at best ~1  
10 km<sup>2</sup> area) in use today. For example, concentrations reduce from 20-80 ppbv for NO<sub>2</sub>  
11 and 55,000-215,000 #/cc for PNC to less than 12 ppbv and 30,000 #/cc, respectively,  
12 between a highway and a residential area over a distance of less than 1 kilometer in the  
13 residential area of Anjou. While such gradients are being predicted for a limited number  
14 of pollutants by dispersion or LUR models or other hybrid-models, as discussed in the  
15 introduction, stationary monitoring networks clearly cannot account for them. Although  
16 multipollutant mobile studies such as described in this paper can help provide the  
17 information needed, they are not feasible in many locations (mainly due to the high costs  
18 involved). The relatively recent emergence of portable technologies for measurements of  
19 environmental parameters (i.e., coupling portable digital devices and GPS with  
20 microsensors for air pollution (Mead et al., 2013) atmospheric parameters and  
21 biomonitoring in distributed stationary or mobile sensors networks) may help fill the gap  
22 in our ability to estimate the spatio-temporal variability at the intra-urban scale, though

1 likely with some cost to measurement accuracy or precision and only for certain  
2 pollutants.

### 3 5 Summary and Conclusions

4 Although mobile measurement campaigns have been used often to characterize intra-  
5 urban variability in air pollution, most have been based upon short periods and it is not  
6 known if the patterns observed reflect typical or long term conditions. When applied for  
7 evaluating average or long term spatial patterns a mobile lab has two main limitations: its  
8 inherent inability to take simultaneous measurements at multiple locations and the limited  
9 number of measurement days that can be done at multiple locations due to cost. This  
10 study evaluated and applied a mobile monitoring approach that was designed to reduce  
11 the effects of these limitations in order to infer intra-urban variability of multiple  
12 pollutants representative of long term exposure patterns.

13 The approach we evaluated was based on repeated measurements along the same route  
14 covering a wide variety of urban micro-environments on multiple days, different seasons  
15 and different times of the day. This approach has the advantage of covering a relatively  
16 large spatial domain that surpasses what an AQ monitoring network can achieve, even  
17 monitoring enhanced by additional satellite sites. Comparison of the average  
18 concentrations derived from the mobile lab at permanent monitoring sites showed that  
19 when a site was visited 18 or more times the actual annual averages could be estimated to  
20 within 25% for NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO and O<sub>3</sub> and within 30% for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Maximum  
21 representativeness errors were almost 60% for SO<sub>2</sub> and over 100% for NO. As expected,  
22 errors tended to be greater when fewer visits were completed. The mobile survey also  
23 correctly identified the larger spatial differences seen between some of the AQ

1 monitoring network sites. Furthermore, comparison of mobile lab average concentrations  
2 across the full driving route in East Montreal showed that concentration variations  
3 observed among locations were considerably greater than the representativeness errors.  
4 This suggests that the deployment approach undertaken with CRUISER was sufficient to  
5 detect true spatial differences in average concentrations. Therefore, we conclude that it is  
6 feasible for a mobile lab survey to provide a large amount of spatial data to inform  
7 chronic exposure assessment potentially for better understanding of the distribution of  
8 population risk and for developing exposure models. Ideally, more long-term sites  
9 measuring a greater number of pollutants and across contrasting locations are needed in  
10 future studies to be able to carry out a more detailed evaluation and optimization of  
11 mobile deployment strategies designed to characterize long-term patterns.

12 Mobile labs, such as CRUISER, have a greater capability to characterize spatial  
13 patterns for multiple pollutants compared to intensive fixed site monitoring. The results  
14 shown in this paper, based upon best estimates of longer term averages, have uniquely  
15 quantified the magnitude of exposure differences for more pollutants simultaneously than  
16 has previously been studied. In Levy et al. (2014) these differences were assessed in  
17 terms of multipollutant spatial correlations. In this paper, these differences were assessed  
18 in the context of evaluating the representativeness of routine monitoring locations in  
19 Montreal and in the context of impacts from a complex mixture of emission sources.  
20 This included mobile sources, marine sources, heavy and light industry, small businesses  
21 and residential heating, including wood smoke. Long term average concentrations were  
22 also examined in terms of the impact of a busy highway deep into an adjacent residential  
23 area, also comparing gradients among pollutants. The CRUISER deployment also

1 involved a large coverage of East Montreal to provide observations representative of  
2 longer term conditions over a diverse range of urban microenvironments.

3 Through examination of both maps depicting the overall variability for several  
4 different pollutants and of small scale co-variations in pollutants we identified how the  
5 impact of sources varies spatially. For example, while SO<sub>2</sub> was well-known to be emitted  
6 from the petrochemical industries, with concurrent measurement of benzene and NO<sub>2</sub>, we  
7 were able to show that in some parts of East Montreal SO<sub>2</sub> was associated with a different  
8 source, more likely marine vessels. Closer to the petrochemical facilities we showed how  
9 the heterogeneous nature of such facilities leads to small scale (i.e., ~100 m) differences  
10 in the mix of pollutants affecting the surrounding area. Thus, systematic mobile  
11 measurement of multiple pollutants can be a valuable approach for gaining more insight  
12 into which sources are having a greater long-term impact on local air quality and how  
13 these impacts vary on relatively small spatial scales.

14 Through examples comparing a range of locations to each other and to the nearest  
15 monitoring site we demonstrated, quantitatively, how much exposure can be influenced  
16 by spending time in different common microenvironments such as commercial areas and  
17 intersections of busier roadways. The mobile survey demonstrated that the routine  
18 monitoring sites are providing representative information on neighbourhood background  
19 conditions throughout the portion(s) of East Montreal they are expected to represent.  
20 However, relatively short distances away (i.e., 100 m) from locations experiencing  
21 background conditions, in areas where residents can be expected to spend time,  
22 concentrations for some pollutants (e.g., NO<sub>2</sub>, PNC) can be a factor of two higher. The  
23 extent of this small scale variability depends upon source. The distribution of traffic

1 leads to the greatest local scale variability, while the impact of industrial facilities tends  
2 to vary on larger scales (i.e., 500 m). Although, as described above, the nature of this  
3 impact can vary on smaller scales in the case of large facilities involving a range of  
4 processes.

5 While a large amount of data are available on traffic air pollution gradients downwind  
6 of roadways, the CRUISER measurements are unique due to the relatively large number  
7 of different days and times the measurements represent, the simultaneous measurement of  
8 23 separate parameters and the greater distance away that was explored. From these data  
9 we showed that the rate of decay in concentration with distance differs by pollutant. This  
10 is related to differing atmospheric processes occurring on short time scales. Moreover,  
11 we reported some evidence suggesting that while concentrations of some of the pollutants  
12 decay to background levels within a relatively short distance (<50m) from a highway,  
13 some (NO<sub>2</sub>, CO and traffic-related organic particles (HOA)) remain elevated up to 700  
14 m. Clearly, the mixture of traffic-related air pollution is complex and variable on  
15 multiple scales and not all the details were explored in this paper. However, of  
16 importance here is that some aspects of this mixture, and likely unmeasured components,  
17 may be felt above urban background levels on a long term, chronic basis significantly  
18 beyond 500 meters.

19 In order to improve understanding of the overall impact of chronic air pollutant  
20 exposures in urban areas, future studies examining intra-urban variability of air pollutants  
21 will need better exposure predictions than currently available. One possible path to  
22 follow is to combine several modeling approaches (e.g., dispersion, air quality and LUR  
23 models) as well as different measurement techniques (e.g., remote sensing and mobile



1 measurements). Furthermore, with improvement in publicly available databases on small  
2 scale emitters, emerging technologies (e.g., distributed sensors networks) and statistical  
3 modeling approaches, some progress on these challenges can be expected, although  
4 understanding combined and differential multipollutant effects will remain a significant  
5 challenge.

6

## 6 Acknowledgements

Financial support for this research was from the Federal Government of Canada's Clean Air Regulatory Agenda and the PERD Particles and Related Emissions Program, Project 12.007. Ilan Levy gratefully acknowledges support by a post-doctoral fellowship from the Environment and Health Fund, Jerusalem, Israel and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research of Canada Visiting Fellowship in Canadian Government Laboratories. The authors also acknowledge the Montréal Station d'épuration des eaux usées Jean-R.-Marcotte (Dr. Paul André Leduc) for providing a safe location for CRUISER to park and obtain electrical power throughout the study. The Ville de Montreal is acknowledged for provision of the one minute monitoring site data and Andre Germain, Montreal Sante Publique and Health Canada for providing insight of help in study planning.

## 7 References

- Adar, S. D., Sheppard, L., Vedal, S., Polak, J. F., Sampson, P. D., Roux, A. V. D., Budoff, M., Jacobs Jr, D. R., Barr, R. G. and Watson, K.: Fine particulate air pollution and the progression of carotid intima-medial thickness: a prospective cohort study from the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution, *PLoS medicine*, 10(4), e1001430, 2013.
- Beckerman, B., Jerrett, M., Brook, J. R., Verma, D. K., Arain, M. A. and Finkelstein, M. M.: Correlation of nitrogen dioxide with other traffic pollutants near a major expressway, *Atmos Environ*, 42(2), 275–290, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.042, 2008.
- Beckerman, B. S., Jerrett, M., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Ross, Z. and Burnett, R. T.: Application of the deletion/substitution/addition algorithm to selecting land use regression models for interpolating air pollution measurements in California, *Atmospheric Environment*, 77, 172–177, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.024, 2013.
- Beevers, S. D., Kitwiroon, N., Williams, M. L. and Carslaw, D. C.: One way coupling of CMAQ and a road source dispersion model for fine scale air pollution predictions, *Atmospheric Environment*, 59, 47–58, 2012.
- Bergeron, O. and Strachan, I. B.: Wintertime radiation and energy budget along an urbanization gradient in Montreal, Canada, *Int J Climatol*, 32(1), 137–152, doi:10.1002/joc.2246, 2012.

- 1 Brauer, M., Hoek, G., Smit, H. A., de Jongste, J. C., Gerritsen, J., Postma, D. S., Kerkhof,  
2 M. and Brunekreef, B.: Air pollution and development of asthma, allergy and infections  
3 in a birth cohort, *Eur Respir J*, 29, 879 – 888, 2007.
- 4 Brauer, M., Hoek, G., Vliet, P. van, Meliefste, K., Fischer, P., Gehring, U., Heinrich, J.,  
5 Cyrus, J., Bellander, T., Lewne, M. and Brunekreef, B.: Estimating Long-Term Average  
6 Particulate Air Pollution Concentrations: Application of Traffic Indicators and  
7 Geographic Information Systems, *Epidemiology*, 14(2), 228–239, 2003.
- 8 Brauer, M., Lencar, C., Tamburic, L., Koehoorn, M., Demers, P. and Karr, C.: A Cohort  
9 Study of Traffic-Related Air Pollution Impacts on Birth Outcomes, *Environ Health*  
10 *Perspect*, 116(5), 680–686, doi:10.1289/ehp.10952, 2008.
- 11 Brook, J. R., Burnett, R. T., Dann, T. F., Cakmak, S., Goldberg, M. S., Fan, X. and  
12 Wheeler, A. J.: Further interpretation of the acute effect of nitrogen dioxide observed in  
13 Canadian time-series studies, *J Expos Sci Environ Epidemiol*, 17(S2), S36–S44, 2007.
- 14 Brook, J. R., Levy, I. and Mihele, C.: From near-road to urban background: lessons  
15 learned from mobile lab monitoring, *EM Magazine*, 2013.
- 16 Brook, R. D., Jerrett, M., Brook, J. R., Bard, R. L. and Finkelstein, M. M.: The  
17 Relationship Between Diabetes Mellitus and Traffic-Related Air Pollution, *Journal of*  
18 *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 50(1), 32–38,  
19 doi:10.1097/JOM.0b013e31815dba70, 2008.
- 20 Brook, R. D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C. A., 3rd, Brook, J. R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux,  
21 A. V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R. V., Mittleman, M. A., Peters, A., Siscovick, D.,  
22 Smith, S. C., Whitsel, L., Kaufman, J. D. and on behalf of the American Heart  
23 Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, C. on the K. in C. D.: Particulate  
24 Matter Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease, *Circulation*, 121(21), 2331 –2378,  
25 doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181d8ece1, 2010.
- 26 Bukowiecki, N., Dommen, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Weingartner, E. and Baltensperger, U.:  
27 Fine and ultrafine particles in the Zürich (Switzerland) area measured with a mobile  
28 laboratory: an assessment of the seasonal and regional variation throughout a year, *Atmos*  
29 *Chem Phys*, 3(5), 1477–1494, 2003.
- 30 Busque, D., Paradis, J. and Proulx, M.: Fine Particles and Ozone in Québec Relative to  
31 the Canada-wide Standards (2009 Report), Ministère du Développement durable, de  
32 l'Environnement et des Parcs, Direction des politiques de la qualité de l'atmosphère,  
33 Québec., 2009.
- 34 Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Wood, E. C., Herndon, S. C., Jayne, J. T., Cross, E.  
35 S., Miake-Lye, R. C., Kolb, C. E. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of vehicle exhaust  
36 particles in the atmosphere, *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 60(10),  
37 1192(12), 2010.

1 Costabile, F., Birmili, W., Klose, S., Tuch, T., Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., Franck, U.,  
2 König, K. and Sonntag, A.: Spatio-temporal variability and principal components of the  
3 particle number size distribution in an urban atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(9),  
4 3163–3195, doi:10.5194/acp-9-3163-2009, 2009.

5 Crooks, J. and Isakov, V.: A wavelet-based approach to blending observations with  
6 deterministic computer models to resolve the intraurban air pollution field, *Journal of the*  
7 *Air & Waste Management Association*, 63(12), 1369–1385, 2013.

8 Crouse, D. L., Goldberg, M. S. and Ross, N. A.: A prediction-based approach to  
9 modelling temporal and spatial variability of traffic-related air pollution in Montreal,  
10 *Canada, Atmos Environ*, 43(32), 5075–5084, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.040, 2009.

11 Crouse, D. L., Goldberg, M. S., Ross, N. A., Chen, H. and Labrèche, F.: Postmenopausal  
12 Breast Cancer Is Associated with Exposure to Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Montreal,  
13 *Canada: A Case–Control Study*, *Environ Health Perspect*, 118(11), 1578–1583, 2010.

14 Cyrus, J., Hochadel, M., Gehring, U., Hoek, G., Diegmann, V., Brunekreef, B. and  
15 Heinrich, J.: GIS-Based Estimation of Exposure to Particulate Matter and NO<sub>2</sub> in an  
16 Urban Area: Stochastic versus Dispersion Modeling, *Environ Health Perspect*, 113(8),  
17 987–992, doi:10.1289/ehp.7662, 2005.

18 Cyrus, J., Pitz, M., Arx, M. E. H., Künzli, N. and Heinrich, J.: Evaluation of A Sampling  
19 Strategy for Estimation of Long-term Pm<sub>2.5</sub> Exposure for Epidemiological Studies,  
20 *Environ Monit Assess*, 119(1-3), 161–171, doi:10.1007/s10661-005-9020-9, 2006.

21 Daum, P. H., Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Nunnermacker, L. J., Lee, Y.-N.,  
22 Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Zheng, J. and Berkowitz, C. M.: A comparative study of O<sub>3</sub>  
23 formation in the Houston urban and industrial plumes during the 2000 Texas Air Quality  
24 Study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 18 PP., doi:200310.1029/2003JD003552, 2003.

25 Dijkema, M. B., Gehring, U., van Strien, R. T., van der Zee, S. C., Fischer, P., Hoek, G.  
26 and Brunekreef, B.: A Comparison of Different Approaches to Estimate Small-Scale  
27 Spatial Variation in Outdoor NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations, *Environ Health Perspect*, 119(5), 670–  
28 675, doi:10.1289/ehp.0901818, 2011.

29 Dionisio, K. L., Rooney, M. S., Arku, R. E., Friedman, A. B., Hughes, A. F., Vallarino,  
30 J., Agyei-Mensah, S., Spengler, J. D. and Ezzati, M.: Within-Neighborhood Patterns and  
31 Sources of Particle Pollution: Mobile Monitoring and Geographic Information System  
32 Analysis in Four Communities in Accra, Ghana, *Environ Health Perspect*, 118(5), 607–  
33 613, doi:10.1289/ehp.0901365, 2010.

34 Dobbin, N. A., Wheeler, A. J., Smargiassi, A., Bartlett, S., Liu, L., Shutt, R., Kauri, L.  
35 M., Mallach, G., Valois, M.-F. and Goldberg, M. S.: Lung Inflammation among Children  
36 with Asthma Exposed to Industrial and Traffic Pollution, in *Environ Health Perspect*,  
37 *Environ Health Perspect*, Barcelona, Spain., 2011.

1 Durant, J. L., Ash, C. A., Wood, E. C., Herndon, S. C., Jayne, J. T., Knighton, W. B.,  
2 Canagaratna, M. R., Trull, J. B., Brugge, D., Zamore, W. and Kolb, C. E.: Short-term  
3 variation in near-highway air pollutant gradients on a winter morning, *Atmos Chem Phys*,  
4 10(17), 8341–8352, 2010.

5 Eeftens, M., Beelen, R., de Hoogh, K., Bellander, T., Cesaroni, G., Cirach, M., Declercq,  
6 C., Dèdelè, A., Dons, E., de Nazelle, A., Dimakopoulou, K., Eriksen, K., Falq, G.,  
7 Fischer, P., Galassi, C., Gražulevičienė, R., Heinrich, J., Hoffmann, B., Jerrett, M.,  
8 Keidel, D., Korek, M., Lanki, T., Lindley, S., Madsen, C., Mölter, A., Nádor, G.,  
9 Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Nonnemacher, M., Pedeli, X., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Patelarou, E.,  
10 Quass, U., Ranzi, A., Schindler, C., Stempfelet, M., Stephanou, E., Sugiri, D., Tsai, M.-  
11 Y., Yli-Tuomi, T., Varró, M. J., Vienneau, D., Klot, S. von, Wolf, K., Brunekreef, B. and  
12 Hoek, G.: Development of Land Use Regression Models for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> Absorbance,  
13 PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>coarse</sub> in 20 European Study Areas; Results of the ESCAPE Project,  
14 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46(20), 11195–11205, doi:10.1021/es301948k, 2012.

15 Environment Canada: National Pollutant Release Inventory, Tracking Pollution in  
16 Canada [online] Available from: <http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/default.asp> (Accessed 27  
17 September 2010), 2006.

18 Freiman, M. T., Hirshel, N. and Broday, D. M.: Urban-scale variability of ambient  
19 particulate matter attributes, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(29), 5670–5684,  
20 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.04.060, 2006.

21 Fujita, E. M., Campbell, D. E., Patrick Arnott, W., Lau, V. and Martien, P. T.: Spatial  
22 variations of particulate matter and air toxics in communities adjacent to the Port of  
23 Oakland, *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 63(12), 1399–1411,  
24 2013.

25 Fujita, E. M., Campbell, D. E., Zielinska, B., Arnott, W. P. and Chow, J. C.:  
26 Concentrations of air toxics in motor vehicle-dominated environments, *Res Rep Health*  
27 *Eff Inst*, (156), 3–77, 2011.

28 Gehring, U., Wijga, A. H., Brauer, M., Fischer, P., de Jongste, J. C., Kerkhof, M.,  
29 Oldenwening, M., Smit, H. A. and Brunekreef, B.: Traffic-related Air Pollution and the  
30 Development of Asthma and Allergies during the First 8 Years of Life, *Am. J. Respir.*  
31 *Crit. Care Med.*, 181(6), 596–603, doi:10.1164/rccm.200906-0858OC, 2010.

32 Gertler, A., Kuhns, H., Abu-Allaban, M., Damm, C., Gillies, J., Etyemezian, V., Clayton,  
33 R. and Proffitt, D.: A case study of the impact of Winter road sand/salt and street  
34 sweeping on road dust re-entrainment, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(31), 5976–5985,  
35 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.12.047, 2006.

36 Gilbert, N. L., Goldberg, M. S., Beckerman, B., Brook, J. R. and Jerrett, M.: Assessing  
37 spatial variability of ambient nitrogen dioxide in Montréal, Canada, with a land-use  
38 regression model, *J Air Waste Manag Assoc*, 55(8), 1059–1063, 2005.

- 1 Gryparis, A., Coull, B. A. and Schwartz, J.: Controlling for confounding in the presence  
2 of measurement error in hierarchical models: a Bayesian approach, *J Expos Sci Environ*  
3 *Epidemiol*, 17(S2), S20–S28, doi:10.1038/sj.jes.7500624, 2007.
- 4 Hagler, G. S. W., Thoma, E. D. and Baldauf, R. W.: High-resolution mobile monitoring  
5 of carbon monoxide and ultrafine particle concentrations in a near-road environment, *J*  
6 *Air Waste Manag Assoc*, 60(3), 328–336, 2010.
- 7 Henderson, S. B., Beckerman, B., Jerrett, M. and Brauer, M.: Application of Land Use  
8 Regression to Estimate Long-Term Concentrations of Traffic-Related Nitrogen Oxides  
9 and Fine Particulate Matter, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 41(7), 2422–2428,  
10 doi:10.1021/es0606780, 2007.
- 11 Hirtl, M. and Baumann-Stanzer, K.: Evaluation of two dispersion models (ADMS-Roads  
12 and LASAT) applied to street canyons in Stockholm, London and Berlin, *Atmospheric*  
13 *Environment*, 41(28), 5959–5971, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.03.026, 2007.
- 14 Hochadel, M., Heinrich, J., Gehring, U., Morgenstern, V., Kuhlbusch, T., Link, E.,  
15 Wichmann, H.-E. and Krämer, U.: Predicting long-term average concentrations of traffic-  
16 related air pollutants using GIS-based information, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(3),  
17 542–553, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.067, 2006.
- 18 Hu, S., Fruin, S., Kozawa, K., Mara, S., Paulson, S. E. and Winer, A. M.: A wide area of  
19 air pollutant impact downwind of a freeway during pre-sunrise hours, *Atmos Environ*,  
20 43(16), 2541–2549, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.02.033, 2009.
- 21 Hystad, P., Setton, E., Cervantes, A., Poplawski, K., Deschenes, S., Brauer, M., van  
22 Donkelaar, A., Lamsal, L., Martin, R., Jerrett, M. and Demers, P.: Creating national air  
23 pollution models for population exposure assessment in Canada, *Environ. Health*  
24 *Perspect.*, 119(8), 1123–1129, doi:10.1289/ehp.1002976, 2011.
- 25 Isakov, V., Irwin, J. S. and Ching, J.: Using CMAQ for Exposure Modeling and  
26 Characterizing the Subgrid Variability for Exposure Estimates, *J Appl Meteorol Climatol*,  
27 46(9), 1354–1371, doi:10.1175/JAM2538.1, 2007a.
- 28 Isakov, V., Touma, J. S. and Khlystov, A.: A method of assessing air toxics  
29 concentrations in urban areas using mobile platform measurements, *J Air Waste Manag*  
30 *Assoc*, 57(11), 1286–1295, 2007b.
- 31 Janssen, N. A. H., Hoek, G., Simic-Lawson, M., Fischer, P., van Bree, L., ten Brink, H.,  
32 Keuken, M., Atkinson, R. W., Anderson, H. R., Brunekreef, B. and Cassee, F. R.: Black  
33 Carbon as an Additional Indicator of the Adverse Health Effects of Airborne Particles  
34 Compared with PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, *Environ Health Perspect*, 119(12), 1691–1699,  
35 doi:10.1289/ehp.1003369, 2011.
- 36 Janssen, N. A., Schwartz, J., Zanobetti, A. and Suh, H. H.: Air conditioning and source-  
37 specific particles as modifiers of the effect of PM (10) on hospital admissions for heart  
38 and lung disease., *Environmental health perspectives*, 110(1), 43, 2002.

1 Jerrett, M., Arain, M. A., Kanaroglou, P., Beckerman, B., Crouse, D. L., Gilbert, N. L.,  
2 Brook, J. R., Finkelstein, N. and Finkelstein, M. M.: Modeling the intraurban variability  
3 of ambient traffic pollution in Toronto, Canada, *Journal of Toxicology and*  
4 *Environmental Health - Part A: Current Issues*, 70(3-4), 200–212, 2007.

5 Jerrett, M., Finkelstein, M. M., Brook, J. R., Arain, M. A., Kanaroglou, P., Stieb, D. M.,  
6 Gilbert, N. L., Verma, D., Finkelstein, N., Chapman, K. R. and Sears, M. R.: A Cohort  
7 Study of Traffic-Related Air Pollution and Mortality in Toronto, Ontario, Canada,  
8 *Environ Health Perspect*, 117(5), 772–777, doi:10.1289/ehp.11533, 2009.

9 Johnson, M., Isakov, V., Touma, J. S., Mukerjee, S. and Özkaynak, H.: Evaluation of  
10 land-use regression models used to predict air quality concentrations in an urban area,  
11 *Atmos Environ*, 44(30), 3660–3668, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.041, 2010.

12 Kanaroglou, P. S., Jerrett, M., Morrison, J., Beckerman, B., Arain, M. A., Gilbert, N. L.  
13 and Brook, J. R.: Establishing an air pollution monitoring network for intra-urban  
14 population exposure assessment: A location-allocation approach, *Atmos Environ*, 39(13),  
15 2399–2409, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.06.049, 2005.

16 Karner, A. A., Eisinger, D. S. and Niemeier, D. A.: Near-Roadway Air Quality:  
17 Synthesizing the Findings from Real-World Data, *Environ Sci Technol*, 44(14), 5334–  
18 5344, doi:10.1021/es100008x, 2010.

19 Kelly, F. J. and Fussell, J. C.: Size, source and chemical composition as determinants of  
20 toxicity attributable to ambient particulate matter, *Atmospheric Environment*, 60, 504–  
21 526, 2012.

22 Larson, T., Henderson, S. B. and Brauer, M.: Mobile monitoring of particle light  
23 absorption coefficient in an urban area as a basis for land use regression, *Environ. Sci.*  
24 *Technol*, 43(13), 4672–4678, 2009.

25 Larson, T., Su, J., Baribeau, A.-M., Buzzelli, M., Setton, E. and Brauer, M.: A Spatial  
26 Model of Urban Winter Woodsmoke Concentrations, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(7),  
27 2429–2436, doi:10.1021/es0614060, 2007.

28 Lee, C. J., Brook, J. R., Evans, G. J., Martin, R. V. and Mihele, C.: Novel application of  
29 satellite and in-situ measurements to map surface-level NO<sub>2</sub> in the Great Lakes region,  
30 *Atmos Chem Phys*, 11(22), 11761–11775, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11761-2011, 2011.

31 Levy, I., Mihele, C., Lu, G., Narayan, J. and Brook, J. R.: Evaluating Multipollutant  
32 Exposure and Urban Air Quality: Pollutant Interrelationships, Neighborhood Variability,  
33 and Nitrogen Dioxide as a Proxy Pollutant, *Environ Health Perspect*, 122(1), 65–72,  
34 doi:10.1289/ehp.1306518, 2014.

35 Levy, J. I., Houseman, E. A., Spengler, J. D., Loh, P. and Ryan, L.: Fine particulate  
36 matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentration patterns in Roxbury,  
37 Massachusetts: a community-based GIS analysis., *Environ Health Perspect*, 109(4), 341–  
38 347, 2001.

1 Lippmann, M., Chen, L. C., Gordon, T., Ito, K. and Thurston, G. D.: National Particle  
2 Component Toxicity (NPACT) Initiative: Integrated Epidemiologic and Toxicologic  
3 Studies of the Health Effects of Particulate Matter Components., Health Effects Institute,  
4 Boston, Mass., 2013.

5 Makar, P. A., Zhang, J., Gong, W., Stroud, C., Sills, D., Hayden, K. L., Brook, J., Levy,  
6 I., Mihele, C., Moran, M. D., Tarasick, D. W., He, H. and Plummer, D.: Mass tracking  
7 for chemical analysis: the causes of ozone formation in southern Ontario during BAQS-  
8 Met 2007, *Atmos Chem Phys*, 10(22), 11151–11173, 2010.

9 Marshall, J. D., Nethery, E. and Brauer, M.: Within-urban variability in ambient air  
10 pollution: Comparison of estimation methods, *Atmos Environ*, 42(6), 1359–1369,  
11 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.08.012, 2008.

12 Martuzevicius, D., Grinshpun, S. A., Reponen, T., Górny, R. L., Shukla, R., Lockey, J.,  
13 Hu, S., McDonald, R., Biswas, P., Kliucininkas, L. and LeMasters, G.: Spatial and  
14 temporal variations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and composition throughout an urban area  
15 with high freeway density—the Greater Cincinnati study, *Atmospheric Environment*,  
16 38(8), 1091–1105, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.11.015, 2004.

17 Mauderly, J. L. and Samet, J. M.: Is There Evidence for Synergy Among Air Pollutants  
18 in Causing Health Effects?, *Environ Health Perspect*, 117(1), 1–6,  
19 doi:10.1289/ehp.11654, 2009.

20 Mead, M. I., Popoola, O. A. M., Stewart, G. B., Landshoff, P., Calleja, M., Hayes, M.,  
21 Baldovi, J. J., McLeod, M. W., Hodgson, T. F. and Dicks, J.: The use of electrochemical  
22 sensors for monitoring urban air quality in low-cost, high-density networks, *Atmospheric*  
23 *Environment*, 70, 186–203, 2013.

24 Miller, L., Xu, X., Grgicak-Mannion, A., Brook, J. and Wheeler, A.: Multi-season, multi-  
25 year concentrations and correlations amongst the BTEX group of VOCs in an urbanized  
26 industrial city, *Atmospheric Environment*, 61, 305–315,  
27 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.07.041, 2012.

28 Monn, C.: Exposure assessment of air pollutants: a review on spatial heterogeneity and  
29 indoor/outdoor/personal exposure to suspended particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide and  
30 ozone, *Atmos Environ*, 35(1), 1–32, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00330-7, 2001.

31 Nordling, E., Berglind, N., Melén, E., Emenius, G., Hallberg, J., Nyberg, F., Pershagen,  
32 G., Svartengren, M., Wickman, M. and Bellander, T.: Traffic-Related Air Pollution and  
33 Childhood Respiratory Symptoms, Function and Allergies, *Epidemiology*, 19(3), 401–  
34 408, doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31816a1ce3, 2008.

35 Patton, A. P., Collins, C., Naumova, E. N., Zamore, W., Brugge, D. and Durant, J. L.: An  
36 hourly regression model for ultrafine particles in a near-highway urban area,  
37 *Environmental science & technology*, 2014.



1 Pennington, M. R., Klems, J. P., Bzdek, B. R. and Johnston, M. V.: Nanoparticle  
2 chemical composition and diurnal dependence at the CalNex Los Angeles ground site, J.  
3 Geophys. Res., 117, 9 PP., doi:201210.1029/2011JD017061, 2012.

4 Pope, C. A., 3rd and Dockery, D. W.: Health effects of fine particulate air pollution: lines  
5 that connect, J Air Waste Manag Assoc, 56(6), 709–742, 2006.

6 RDCT: (R Development Core Team). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical  
7 Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. [online] Available  
8 from: <http://www.R-project.org>, 2009.

9 Ren, C. and Tong, S.: Health effects of ambient air pollution - recent research  
10 development and contemporary methodological challenges, Environmental Health, 7(1),  
11 56, 2008.

12 Rivera, M., Basagaña, X., Aguilera, I., Foraster, M., Agis, D., de Groot, E., Perez, L.,  
13 Mendez, M. A., Bouso, L. and Targa, J.: Association between long-term exposure to  
14 traffic-related air pollution and subclinical atherosclerosis: the REGICOR study,  
15 Environmental health perspectives, 121(2), 223, 2013.

16 Rohr, A. C. and Wyzga, R. E.: Attributing health effects to individual particulate matter  
17 constituents, Atmospheric Environment, 62, 130–152, 2012.

18 Shrestha, K. L., Kondo, A., Kaga, A. and Inoue, Y.: High-resolution modeling and  
19 evaluation of ozone air quality of Osaka using MM5-CMAQ system, Journal of  
20 Environmental Sciences, 21(6), 782–789, doi:10.1016/S1001-0742(08)62341-4, 2009.

21 Smargiassi, A., Kosatsky, T., Hicks, J., Plante, C., Armstrong, B., Villeneuve, P. J. and  
22 Goudreau, S.: Risk of Asthmatic Episodes in Children Exposed to Sulfur Dioxide Stack  
23 Emissions from a Refinery Point Source in Montreal, Canada, Environ Health Perspect,  
24 117(4), 653–659, doi:10.1289/ehp.0800010, 2009.

25 Spengler, J. D., Lwebuga-Mukasa, J., Vallarino, J., Melly, S., Chillrud, S., Baker, J. and  
26 Minegishi, T.: Air toxics exposure from vehicle emissions at a U.S. border crossing:  
27 Buffalo Peace Bridge Study., Health Effects Institute, Boston, Mass., 2011.

28 Statistics Canada: Population of census metropolitan areas, [online] Available from:  
29 <http://www40.statcan.gc.ca/l01/cst01/demo05a-eng.htm> (Accessed 22 June 2011), 2011.

30 Sun, Y. L., Zhang, Q., Schwab, J. J., Chen, W.-N., Bae, M.-S., Hung, H.-M., Lin, Y.-C.,  
31 Ng, N. L., Jayne, J., Massoli, P., Williams, L. R. and Demerjian, K. L.: Characterization  
32 of near-highway submicron aerosols in New York City with a high-resolution aerosol  
33 mass spectrometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(4), 2215–2227, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2215-  
34 2012, 2012.

35 Szpiro, A. A., Sampson, P. D., Sheppard, L., Lumley, T., Adar, S. D. and Kaufman, J. D.:  
36 Predicting intra-urban variation in air pollution concentrations with complex

- spatio-temporal dependencies, *Environmetrics*, 21(6), 606–631, doi:10.1002/env.1014, 2010.
- Thiering, E., Cyrus, J., Kratzsch, J., Meisinger, C., Hoffmann, B., Berdel, D., von Berg, A., Koletzko, S., Bauer, C. and Heinrich, J.: Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and insulin resistance in children: results from the GINIplus and LISAplus birth cohorts., *Diabetologia*, 56(8), 1696–1704, 2013.
- Villeneuve, P. J., Jerrett, M., Su, J., Burnett, R. T., Chen, H., Brook, J., Wheeler, A. J., Cakmak, S. and Goldberg, M. S.: A cohort study of intra-urban variations in volatile organic compounds and mortality, Toronto, Canada, *Environmental Pollution*, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2012.12.022, 2013.
- Wang, R., Henderson, S. B., Sbihi, H., Allen, R. W. and Brauer, M.: Temporal stability of land use regression models for traffic-related air pollution, *Atmospheric Environment*, 64, 312–319, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.056, 2013.
- Weimer, S., Mohr, C., Richter, R., Keller, J., Mohr, M., Prévôt, A. S. H. and Baltensperger, U.: Mobile measurements of aerosol number and volume size distributions in an Alpine valley: Influence of traffic versus wood burning, *Atmos Environ*, 43(3), 624–630, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.034, 2009.
- Westerdahl, D., Fruin, S., Sax, T., Fine, P. M. and Sioutas, C.: Mobile platform measurements of ultrafine particles and associated pollutant concentrations on freeways and residential streets in Los Angeles, *Atmos Environ*, 39(20), 3597–3610, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.02.034, 2005.
- Wheeler, A. J., Smith-Doiron, M., Xu, X., Gilbert, N. L. and Brook, J. R.: Intra-urban variability of air pollution in Windsor, Ontario - Measurement and modeling for human exposure assessment, *Environmental Research*, 106(1), 7–16, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2007.09.004, 2008.
- Xu, X., Brook, J. R. and Guo, Y.: A statistical assessment of saturation and mobile sampling strategies to estimate long-term average concentrations across urban areas, *J Air Waste Manag Assoc*, 57(11), 1396–1406, 2007.
- Yuval, Bekhor, S. and Broday, D. M.: Data-driven nonlinear optimisation of a simple air pollution dispersion model generating high resolution spatiotemporal exposure, *Atmospheric Environment*, 79, 261–270, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.005, 2013.
- Zwack, L. M., Paciorek, C. J., Spengler, J. D. and Levy, J. I.: Modeling Spatial Patterns of Traffic-Related Air Pollutants in Complex Urban Terrain, *Environ Health Perspect*, 119(6), 852–859, doi:10.1289/ehp.1002519, 2011.

## 8 Tables

Table 1: List of pollutants measured by CRUISER or derived from CRUISER measurements and the methods employed.

Parameter	Avl <sup>a</sup> . (%)	Instrument model	Time resolution	Detection limit
NO	80	Thermo Scientific / TECO 42CTL	1 sec	0.4 ppbv
NO <sub>2</sub>	64	Thermo Scientific / TECO 42CTL with Photolytic converter	1 sec	0.8 ppbv
NO <sub>y</sub>	62	Thermo Scientific / TECO 42CTL with Photolytic converter & Mo converter	1 sec	0.4 ppbv
NO <sub>x</sub>	64	Calculated (NO + NO <sub>2</sub> )	NA	NA
NO <sub>z</sub>	46	Calculated (NO <sub>y</sub> - NO <sub>x</sub> )	NA	NA
SO <sub>2</sub>	79	Thermo Scientific / TECO 43 TLE with a 5 µm pore size Teflon filter	10 sec	1 ppbv
CO	78	Thermo Scientific / TECO 48 with a 5 µm pore size Teflon filter	10 sec	100 ppbv
O <sub>3</sub>	79	Thermo Scientific / TECO 49	20 sec	1 ppbv
O <sub>x</sub>	53	Calculated (NO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>3</sub> )	NA	NA
PM <sub>10</sub>	88	GRIMM Dust Monitor 1.100	6 sec	0.1 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	88	GRIMM Dust Monitor 1.100	6 sec	0.1 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
PM <sub>1.0</sub>	88	GRIMM Dust Monitor 1.100	6 sec	0.1 µg m <sup>-3</sup>
particle number (PNC) <sup>b</sup>	87	GRIMM CPC 5.403	1 sec	0.6 # /cc
BC (Black carbon)	49	Droplet Measurement Technologies / Photo Acoustic	1 sec <sup>c</sup>	<3.3 µg m <sup>-3</sup>

OM (Organic matter)	73	Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer	2 min	0.15 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
Sulfate	73	Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer	2 min	0.04 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
Nitrate	73	Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer	2 min	0.02 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
HOA (Hydrocarbon-like organic aerosols)	36	Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (PMF application <sup>d</sup> )	2 min	0.15 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
MZ57 (mass to charge ratio of 57)	36	Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometer	2 min	0.01 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$
Benzene	73	IONICON High Sensitivity PTR-MS	10 sec	20 pptv
C3 Benzene	73	IONICON High Sensitivity PTR-MS	10 sec	20 pptv
Toluene	73	IONICON High Sensitivity PTR-MS	10 sec	20 pptv
Xylenes	73	IONICON High Sensitivity PTR-MS	10 sec	20 pptv

<sup>a</sup> Availability: percent of valid measurements from all 1 second measurements.

<sup>b</sup> PNC measurements here refers to the commonly named UFP in other studies.

<sup>c</sup> Vibrations during mobile measurement result in a poor signal to noise ratio for 1 second resolution data of the Photo Acoustic's BC data. However, the analysis presented here aggregates multiple measurements and thus improves the detection limit. With a 30 min averaging time the detection limit improves to 0.71  $\mu\text{g/m}^3$  and road segment average values used in this paper generally represent averaging over at least 1700 seconds.

<sup>d</sup> For more information see *Description of the instrumentation inlets to CRUISER*

Table 2: Ratios between different average pollution levels shown in Figs. 2 and SM-A1.

	Ratio type <sup>a</sup>	AQ3	AQ1	AQ2	AQ4	AQ7	AQ5	AQ6	Average
	N (visits)	21	18	20	8	5	8	8	
NO <sub>x</sub>	C/D		0.93	0.87	0.92		1.02	0.83	0.91
	B/D		1.17	1.20	1.20		1.09	1.22	1.18
	A/D		1.23	1.05	0.97		0.77	0.76	0.96
NO	C/D		1.01	0.89	0.94		1.06	0.81	0.94
	B/D		1.25	1.35	1.32		1.12	1.37	1.28
	A/D		2.04	1.80	1.76		1.64	2.69	1.99
NO <sub>2</sub>	C/D		0.89	0.86	0.91		0.98	0.84	0.89
	B/D		1.14	1.13	1.15		1.05	1.15	1.12
	A/D		0.95	0.78	0.99		0.68	0.65	0.81
O <sub>3</sub>	C/D	1.19	1.20		1.20		1.19	1.22	1.20
	B/D	0.79	0.81		0.76		0.73	0.78	0.77
	A/D	0.88	0.99		0.63		0.60	0.72	0.77
CO	C/D		0.94				1.08	0.90	0.98
	B/D		1.02				1.12	1.12	1.09
	A/D		0.99				1.61	1.47	1.36
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	C/D	0.95	0.97	0.98	0.96	0.98	1.01	0.96	0.97
	B/D	1.16	1.12	1.36	1.13	1.10	1.14	1.08	1.16
	A/D	0.95	0.70	1.06	1.36	1.64	1.27	1.14	1.16
SO <sub>2</sub>	C/D		1.02	0.98	0.98				1.00
	B/D		1.10	0.77	1.10				0.99
	A/D		1.37	1.56	0.99				1.31

<sup>a</sup> A: CRUISER's average during stops; B: VdM's average during CRUISER's measurement days; C: VdM 2009 daytime annual averages; D: VdM 2009 daily annual averages.

Figure legends:

Fig. 1: Map of the study area showing major roads, land use types, major emission sources and CRUISER's stop sites (a), with a higher resolution on the east part (b), as well as measurement density per kilometer of road segment (measurements / km) for the annual period (c) and at higher resolution on the east part (d) and the Anjou neighborhood (e). Letters A-E in b) refer to areas discussed in Section 3.3 and Fig. 4. Black arrow in (e) refers to the cross section discussed in Section 3.4 and Figs. 6 and 7.

Fig. 2: Comparison of CRUISER's average pollution levels during time it was parked next to VdM's AQ monitoring sites (gray squares), VdM's average levels during CRUISER's measurement days (red circles), VdM 2009 daytime annual averages (blue triangles) and VdM 2009 daily annual averages (green diamonds) for seven relevant pollutants. Whiskers denote one standard deviation. Number above whiskers denotes number of visits to site.

Fig. 3: Mean pollution levels for the entire study at road segments for NO<sub>2</sub> (a), PNC (b), SO<sub>2</sub> (c) and Benzene (d), along with National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI) reported point emissions for the relevant pollutants. Roads were filtered as described in section 2.4. NPRI emissions for NO<sub>2</sub> are nitrogen oxides expressed as NO<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. 4: Box plots showing pollutant levels (NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, PNC and Toluene; a-f, respectively) at ten different areas (green) compared to measurements taken next to three air quality monitoring sites (blue). Red squares are the mean and numbers above each box mark the number of days included in the statistics. Right panel shows local settings of each area marked in blue (A-E). See Fig. 1b for locations within the city.

Fig. 5: Median pollution levels (red line) and IQR (grey) at 20 m bins for the entire study (a), summer (b) and winter (c) seasons, showing NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, O<sub>3</sub>, PNC, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, BC, CO, traffic-related PM<sub>1</sub> (HOA) and Benzene along the cross section marked in Fig. 2d. Arrows mark the locations of perpendicular roads meeting the cross section. Blue lines show the change in pollution from edge of road based on Fig. 3 from Karner (2010) and adjusted to CRUISER's measurements at the edge of the road to facilitate comparison. Black lines are a spline smoothing of the measurements. Red points mark measurements taken passing through the highway.

Fig. 6: Normalized cross sections of NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, HOA and PM<sub>2.5</sub> along the cross section described in the text and Fig. 5. Calculated as the median at each distance divided by the average of the 1000-1500 m medians. Full points mark measurements taken passing through the highway.